1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN
4	Senior Legal Analyst 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 Sen Empirica CA 04102 7004
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
6	
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation: Case No. 1H 2008 159
11	
12	TIMOTHY W. ROWEN 49 Linden Drive A C C U S A T I O N
13	Santa Clara, CA 95050
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner No. 8018
15	Respondent.
16	
17	Complainant alleges:
18	<u>PARTIES</u>
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about August 2, 1985, the Respiratory Care Board issued
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner Number 8018 to Timothy W. Rowen (Respondent). The
24	Respiratory Care Practitioner was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges
25	brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2009, unless renewed.
26	DISCIPLINARY HISTORY
27	3. Effective March 14, 2005, in case no. R-1933, Respondent was placed on
28	three years probation pursuant to stipulation, based on his 2003 conviction for violating Penal

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

10. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

- 11. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Substantially-related Conviction)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750(d), 3750(g), 3752 [substantially-related conviction], and CCR 1399.370(a) in that he was convicted of violating Penal Code section 597t, mistreatment of a confined animal, Penal Code section

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

597.1(a), failing to give proper care and attention to animal, and Penal Code section 597(b), cruelty to animals. The circumstances are as follows:

- 13. On or about October 28, 2006, Silicon Valley Animal Control Authority (SVACA) received a complaint of two adult dogs living in unsanitary conditions at a residence in Santa Clara. On October 29, 2006, Officer A. Morris investigated the complaint. He approached the residence, and through a hole in the fence, saw that the yard was covered with leaves, olives and a large amount of fecal matter. There was a strong stench of ammonia. He observed dirty bedding on bare dirt and a plastic dog house. He saw a chain wrapped around a tree trunk leading into the dog house. There were numerous piles of dry dog food spilled throughout the yard. He took photos of the area, and knocked on the residence front door to discuss the situation with the owner.
- 14. An elderly woman answered the door, and Officer Morris told her he was investigating a complaint regarding the dogs. The woman was incoherent, and a young woman in the house approached Officer Morris. The young woman immediately became defensive, began to yell and accused him of harassment and false accusations. She refused to provide her name and demanded his name and social security number before she would provide hers. Officer Morris requested assistance from Santa Clara Police Department. Officer E. Amos arrived, and obtained the identity of the young woman as T. R.
- 15. An inspection of the yard revealed an adult dog, a Pointer-Dalmatian mix. He had a hard time keeping his balance. His hind quarters were weak. His body was covered with dirt and flies, and he smelled strongly of urine. The toe on his right hind foot appeared infected as the skin was reddened and irritated. His lower body and legs were covered in what appeared to be tumors or cancer. His ears were swollen and infected. He was unable to reach his water bowl as a chain was around his neck.
- 16. The owner was identified as the Respondent. About 35 minutes after the officers arrived, Respondent entered the yard. When the officers asked Respondent who was feeding the dog, how often he was walked, bathed or seen by a veterinarian, he claimed his 80 year old mother was the owner.

1	17. Respondent was advised that he was in violation of Penal Code section
2	597(a), crimes against animals. Respondent surrendered the dog to SVACA. Respondent was
3	advised that he could still be charged with animal cruelty even though he surrendered the dog.
4	18. On or about October 22, 2007, misdemeanor criminal complaint titled
5	People of the State of California vs. Timothy William Rowen, case no. CC784591 was filed.
6	Count 1 alleged a violation of Penal Code section 597t, mistreatment of a confined animal.
7	Count 2 alleged a violation of Penal Code section 597.1(a), failing to give proper care and
8	attention to animal. Count 3 alleged a violation of Penal Code section 597(b), cruelty to animals
9	19. On or about July 30, 2008, Respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo
10	contendere to Counts 1-3. He was placed on probation for three years, ordered to serve 30 days
11	in jail, pay fines and fees, and not to have care, control or ownership of any animal.
12	20. Therefore, Respondent's license is subject to discipline based on his
13	substantially-related conviction in violation of code sections 3750(d), 3752, and CCR
14	1399.370(a).
15	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Corrupt Act)
17	21. Paragraphs 13 through 19 are incorporated herein.
18	22. Respondent's acts as alleged hereinabove constitute a corrupt act in
19	violation of code section 3750(j) and cause to discipline his license.
20	<u>PRAYER</u>
21	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
22	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:
23	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner Number 8018,
24	issued to Timothy W. Rowen;
25	2. Ordering Timothy W. Rowen to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs
26	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of
27	probation monitoring;
28	///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: <u>June 16, 2009</u>
4	
5	Original signed by College Whitesting for:
6	Original signed by Colleen Whitestine for: STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer
7	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
8	State of California Complainant
9	Complainain
10	
11	SF2008402804
12	rowen_t_acc.wpd
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2728	
28	